

Evaluation of different methods used for removal of discoloration from <u>direct composite restoration</u>

Ahmed M. Almarakby (BDs,MSc, PhD)Assis. Prof. at Alfarabi dental college, Department of restorative dental science, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Lecturer at Al-Azhar University, Egypt.



Background/purpose:

Few studies investigated the best method for removing stains from different types of resin-based composite restorations and compared them to the more recently introduced nano-composites. This study compared the effect of four methods for stain removal from composite resins; finishing with Sof-lex disks, using pumice and brush, bleaching with 10% carbamide peroxide and 38% hydrogen peroxide.

Introduction:

Esthetic failure, especially discoloration, is one of the most common reasons for replacing tooth colored restorations (1). To maintain excellent esthetic properties, RBC restorations should have good color stability. Under oral conditions, the esthetic restorations could be exposed to combined effects of light, moisture, oral habits such as tobacco use and certain dietary patterns such as caffeine intake, which might lead to external discoloration. RBC restorations may also become discolored due to intrinsic factors such as hydrolysis of the organic matrix or loosening of the filler particles due to faulty silaniation (2). The introduction of tooth whiteners or home bleaching has created a significant excitement among dentists and public. Nevertheless; questions have been raised regarding the effect of bleaching agents on physical properties, surface morphology and color of restorative materials (3).

Materials and methods:

A total number of 60 composite disk specimens were prepared. Specimens were divided into 3 groups (n=20) according to the type of composite restoration used: Renamel, microfilled composite resin (Cosmedent, Chicago, IL, U.S.A.), Filtek Z250, micro-hybrid (3M/ESPE), and Nanocluster Filtek Supreme, Nanomer (3M/ESPE). Then every main group were farther subdivide into 4 subgroups according to the type of method of discoloration removal that would use later (n=5). Specimens were immersed in a staining solution for 3 weeks. The stained surfaces of five specimens from each RBC material were treated with one of the treatment procedures. Colorimetric measurements were taken using spectrophotometer prior to and after staining, and then repeated after surface treatments. Color difference values were calculated using One-way ANOVA, Two-way ANOVA and Tukey's Post Hoc tests.

Results:

One-way ANOVA indicated significant differences in color change of the three composite resin materials following staining. Filtek Z250 showed the least susceptibility to discoloration followed by Renamel. Filtek Supreme was the material most prone to discoloration. Two-way ANOVA and Tukey's Post Hoc showed that all stain removing procedures except polishing with pumice, were able to return Filtek Z250 to clinically acceptable color difference. While bleaching with 38% carbamide peroxide was not effective with Renamel. Only pumice and 10% carbamide peroxide were able to return Renamel to clinically acceptable color.

Conclusion:

Compositions of resin-based composite resins play an important role in their susceptibility to stain and their amenability to stain removal procedures. Home bleaching showed good results for the three materials, while office bleach was the least effective

| 1 A 12 11 1 | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Material\Sade | Filler size \ content | Matrix Composition | Manufacture\Batch# |
| Filtek Z250 | 0.01-3.5µm | Bis- GMA, | 3M\ESPEE Dental |
| A2 | 60 vol.% zirconia\ | UDMA and Bis- | Products, St. Paul, |
| | silica | EMA | MN, USA #1464 |
| Filtek Supreme | 5-20 nm. 60% | Bis- GMA, | 3M\ESPEE Dental |
| A2 | aggregated | UDMA, | Products, St. Paul, |
| 5 | zirconia\silica cluster | TEGDMA and | MN, USA #4821 |
| 2 | (0.02-0.04 µm) | Bis- EMA | |
| Renamel | 0/02-0.04 µm | Multifunctional | Cosmedent |
| Microfilled \ A2 | 60 wt.% pyrogenic | methacrylate | Chicago, IL. USA. |
| | silicic acid | Esters | #7169 |

Table 1: The restorative materials used in this study

| | and the second | and the second second second second | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| Materials | Batch # | Manufacturer | | |
| Opalescence Xtra Boost | BIZGO | Ultradent Product, South Jordan, UT, USA | | |
| Night White | 06338170 | Discus Dental, Culver City, CA, USA. | | |
| Sof-Lex | na | 3M\ESPEE Dental Products, St. Paul, MN, USA | | |
| Pumice (fine) | na | Garreco Incorporated, Heper Springs, AR,USA | | |
| Lipton, yellow lable tea | na | Unilever, Dubi, UAE | | |
| Tasters chice coffee | na | Nestle USA Inc, Glendale, CA,USA | | |
| Ceres cranberry juice | na | Ceres Fruits Juice (Pty) Ltd, Ceres, South Africa | | |
| Table 2: Products and manufacturers of the stain removing procedures and staining | | | | |
| solutions | | | | |



References:

1. Rosentritt, M., Lang, R., Plein, T., Behr, M., Handel, G., 2005. Discoloration of restorative materials after bleaching application. Quintessence Int. 36, 33–39.

2.Turkun, L.S., Turkun, M., 2004. Effect of bleaching and repolishing procedures on coffee and tea stain removal from three anterior composite veneering materials. J. Esthet. Restor. Dent. 16, 290 - 302.

3.Attin,T.,Hannig,C.,Wiegard,A.,Attin,R.,2004. Effect of bleaching on restorative materials and restorations – a systematic review. Dent. Mater. 20, 857–861.