

DETECTION OF BIANDM, BIAPER, BIAVEB, BIAIMP AND BIAVIM GENES AMONG ACINETOBACTER BAUMANNII ISOLATED FROM HOSPITALISED PATIENTS

FALLAH FATEMEH, NOORI MARYAM, HASHEMI ALI, MARHAMATI NOOSHIN, ERFANI MANESH SOROOR,

MARHAMATI NEDA*

Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. *California State University Channel Islands, CA, USA



Introduction

Background: Acinetobacter is one of the main causes of nosocomial infections. Indiscriminate use of broad-spectrum antibiotics increases antibiotic resistance and persistence and spread of resistant strains in hospitals, especially in ICU. Among the most important mechanism of resistance in isolates of Acinetobacter, production of Extended-spectrum Beta-lactamase (ESBL) and the Mtalo- beta lactamase (MBL) is very important. Thereby the aim of current study was to investigate the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of isolated Acinetobacter strains and the frequency of ESBL and MBL by phenotypic methods (DDST) and genotypic (PCR) methods.

| Antibiotics | Limited | MIC 50% | MIC 90% |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|
| Meropenem | 1-256 | 32 | 128 |
| Imipenem | 2-256 | 128 | 256 |
| Ceftazidime | 2>512 | 256 | 512 |
| Cefepime | 1-256 | 64 | 128 |
| Cefotaxime | 2>512 | 256 | 512 |
| Colistin | 0.25-128 | ≤1 | 2 |

Results

In this study, of 108 isolates of Acinetobacter, 100 % were resistant to cefotaxime, 98.1 % resistant to sulbactam ampicillin and trimethoprim - sulfamethoxazole, 97.2% were resistant to piperacillin and cefepime, 95.4% were resistant to piperacillin tazobactam, ceftazidime, 92.6 % were resistant to ciprofloxacin , 91.7 % were resistant to imipenem and meropenem, 80.6% were resistant to amikacin and tetracycline, 40.7% were resistant to gentamicin and only 1.8 % were resistant to Colistin. Of all isolates 83.2 % of isolates were multidrug-resistant (MDR) and 43.5 % were XDR. Among ESBL -producing isolates, 36 (39.5 %) were positive for blaveb and 71 (78.3 %) positive for blaPER gene. Also in MBL positive isolates, 3 (3.48 %) carried blaIMP gene while no blaSPM were detected.



NPL blavEB 540bp =

Method & Material

Samples were identified as Acinetobacter Baumannii by culture and biochemical methods. Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed by disk diffusion method and the MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) was measured using micro dilution broth methods for Imipenem, Meropenem, Ceftazidime, Cefotaxime, Cefepime and Colistin. The MBL and ESBL -producing strains were identified by phenotypic methods and blaIMP, blaSPM, blaVEB, blaPER genes were detected using PCR method.

Conclusion

The prevalence of ESBLs and MBLsproducing A. Baumannii strains is a major concern and highlights the need of infection control measures including prompt identification of beta-lactamaseproducing isolates and antibacterial management.

